1. Public Space Protection Order (restricting alcohol consumption) – Renewal (Pages 2-5)

BOROUGH COUNCIL OF KING'S LYNN AND WEST NORFOLK

RECORD OF DECISION TAKEN BY OFFICERS UNDER DELEGATED POWERS

This is a record of a decision taken by an officers under delegated powers and where necessary taken in consultation with members and officers.

REPORT TITLE:	Public Space Protection Order (Restricting alcohol consumption) - renewal
OPEN/EXEMPT	Open
LEAD OFFICER	Andy Nederpel
IS DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL IN?:	If Exec decision then yes. If non Exec decision then no. If Urgent decision then no – need to provide reasons. No, the renewal is urgently required due to the PSPO expiring on the 30 th September 2023 and the requirement to have the renewal in place on the 1 st October 2023.
DATE DECISION ADVERTISED:	
DATE OF DECISION:	
DEADLINE FOR CALL IN:	
PRE-SCREENING EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT COMPLETED:	YES

Delegated Power

Specify the particular delegated power being exercised by reference to the Scheme of Delegation or the Council body minute and date.

Scheme of delegation July 2023 S8.13

Chief Executive and Executive Directors General day to day management of the community safety service and compliance with any legislative provisions relating to crime, disorder and nuisance.

Investigations, authorisations and interventions relating to anti-social behaviour including service of notices, warrants and any other statutory enforcement.

Decision Taken

Specify precise details of the decision taken.

Renewal of a Public Space Protection Order (Restricting Alcohol Consumption) for a further three years.

Reasons for the Decision

Specify all reasons for taking the decision

An order restricting alcohol consumption known as a Designated Public Place Order (DPPO) has been in place in King's Lynn, Downham Market and Hunstanton since 2007 using powers under the Criminal Justice Act 2006. This power allowed the restriction to remain in place without expiry, this made amending or rescinding the DPPO extremely complex, costly and did not allow for other unacceptable behaviours types to be restricted within the DPPO.

The legislation relating to DPPO changed in 2014 when the section of the Criminal Justice Act 2006 relating to DDPO's was repealed and replaced with the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. This allowed Local Authorities to convert the existing DPPO's to a PSPO, create a Public Space Protection Order (PSPO) covering other behaviours and amend according to the behaviours and needs of the community in a simplified process.

The PSPO is very similar to the DPPO but has variable differences which includes limiting the order for a maximum period of 3years. After a period of 3years the order must be renewed, varied, or be allowed to expiry.

Initially the new legislation allowed a transitional period of 3years after which the order required conversion of a DPPO to a PSPO. This transition occurred in 2017 as a like for like order.

In 2020, the designated areas were increased to cover the majority of the three towns. The rationale for increasing the designated area was to tackle displacement of alcohol relate disorder caused by the smaller DPPO zones and to provide protection to new and proposed housing developments.

A consultation process include statutory consultees and those affected by the restriction (the public) is required by the Local Authority when seeking a new or, when renewing a PSPO. This renewal consultation took place between the 1st August 2023 and the 31st August 2023. The public consultation and statutory consultees demonstrated support for the renewal of the PSPO.

Details of alternative options, if any, considered and rejected.

The PSPO provides additional powers for agencies to tackle alcohol related disorder and is a preventative order which often works in conjunction with other powers such as a direction to leave.

Without the PSPO, agencies have lose a key preventative tool in the toolkit in tackling alcohol related disorder. Without the PSPO the power to deal with behaviour would only be available once an offence has occurred and the behaviour has already caused harm to the community.

Any declarations of interest and details of any dispensations granted in respect of interests (in relation to officers and any Members consulted).					
No					
List of Background papers					
Copies of the draft orders attached.					
Authorisation					
Post Held – Executive Director					
Signature					
Date 27/9/2023,					
Consultation with members/officers If the decision is taken following consultation with the members/officers_please give details:					
Name of Members/officers consulted:					
Jo Rust (Portfolio Holder for People and Communities)					
Signed by Member/officer as consulted:					
Date					
The second se					

Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment

Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk



Name of policy/service/function	Public Space		(Re	strictir	ng Ale	cohol
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function?	Existing	ander an de en				
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened. Please state if this policy/service rigidly constrained by statutory obligations	The PSPO is aimed at protecting law abiding the public from alcohol related disorder and quality of life of those living, working or designated areas.			and i	mprove	e the
Question	Answer					
1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups according to their different protected characteristic, for example, because they have			Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure
particular needs, experiences, issues or priorities or	Age				\square	
in terms of ability to access the service?	Disability				1	
	Gender				\bigvee	
Please tick the relevant box for each group.	Gender Re-ass	signment			\bigvee	
	Marriage/civil partnership				\checkmark	
NB. Equality neutral means no negative impact on	Pregnancy & m	naternity				
any group.	Race				\bigvee	
	Religion or beli	ief			\bigvee	
	Sexual orientat	tion			V	
	Other (eg low i	ncome)			./	
					10	
Question	Answer	Comments	I	I	<u> </u>	L
Question 2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another?	Answer No		onstrat I Beha oport o	tes the aviour other	e Cour powe agenci	ncil is rs to
2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or		Comments The PSPO does not community and demo using it's Anti-Socia tackle ASB and sup providing them with a No this particular PSF who are likely to s	onstrat I Beha oport o dditior PO is I suffer lisorde	tes the aviour other al pov based highe	e Cour powe agenci wers. upon a er leve nd re	areas areus of equire
 Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? Could this policy/service be perceived as 	No	Comments The PSPO does not community and demo using it's Anti-Socia tackle ASB and sup providing them with a No this particular PSF who are likely to s alcohol related d	Distration Distration	tes the aviour other hal pow based highe t art t the co	e Cour powe agenci wers. upon a er leve ad re ommur nere al	areas ls of expuire hity.
 Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently? Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential 	No	Comments The PSPO does not community and demo using it's Anti-Socia tackle ASB and sup providing them with a No this particular PSF who are likely to s alcohol related d additional support to p The PSPO can only related disorder is c	PO is l oport of ddition PO is l suffer lisorde protect be us poccurri	tes the aviour other al power based highe r ar t the co red wh ng or	e Cour powe agenci- wers. upon a er leve ommur nere al is like	ncil is rs to es in areas ls of equire hity. cohol ely to
 Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently? Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed 	No	Comments The PSPO does not community and demo using it's Anti-Socia tackle ASB and sup providing them with a No this particular PSF who are likely to s alcohol related d additional support to p The PSPO can only related disorder is c occur. Actions: Actions agreed by E	PO is l oport of ddition PO is l suffer lisorde protect be us poccurri	tes the aviour other al power based highe r ar t the co red wh ng or	e Cour powe agenci- wers. upon a er leve ommur nere al is like	ncil is rs to es in areas ls of equire hity. cohol ely to
 Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently? Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section 	No	Comments The PSPO does not community and demo using it's Anti-Socia tackle ASB and sup providing them with a No this particular PSF who are likely to a alcohol related d additional support to p The PSPO can only related disorder is co occur. Actions: Actions agreed by E Name	PO is longer of the suffer lisorde orotect	tes the aviour other al power based highe r ar t the co red wh ng or	e Cour powe agenci- wers. upon a er leve ommur nere al is like	ncil is rs to es in areas ls of equire hity. cohol ely to